

ALGEBRAIC STRING BRACKET AS A POISSON BRACKET

HOSSEIN ABBASPOUR, THOMAS TRADLER, AND MAHMOUD ZEINALIAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we construct a Lie algebra representation of the algebraic string bracket on negative cyclic cohomology of an associative algebra with appropriate duality. This is a generalized algebraic version of the main theorem of [AZ] which extends Goldman's results using string topology operations. The main result can be applied to the de Rham complex of a smooth manifold as well as the Dolbeault resolution of the endomorphisms of a holomorphic bundle on a Calabi-Yau manifold.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. The Lie algebra $HC_{-}^{\bullet}(A)$	3
3. Maurer-Cartan solutions	6
4. The induced Lie map	7
5. Comparison with generalized holonomy	9
6. A_{∞} generalization	11
References	13

1. INTRODUCTION

Goldman original work [Go] on the Lie algebra of free homotopy classes of oriented closed curves on an oriented surface was extensively generalized through the introduction of String Topology by Chas and Sullivan [CS]. In particular, they generalized this Lie bracket to one on the equivariant homology of the free loop space of a compact and oriented manifold M . From the beginning, it was clear that this bracket had a deep relation to the holonomy map on a vector bundle; see [Go, CFP, CCR, CR]. This relation was the subject of a paper, [AZ], by the first and third author. It was shown there that using Chen's iterated integral one obtains a map of Lie algebras from the equivariant homology of the free loop space to the space of functions on a space of generalized flat connections.

Algebraic analogues of string topology Lie algebra have also been considered in recent years. Jones [J] had shown that for a simply connected topological space X the equivariant homology of the free loop space is isomorphic to the negative cyclic cohomology of the algebra of cochains on X . Using this, and Connes long exact sequence relating negative cyclic cohomology and Hochschild cohomology, together with the BV algebra on Hochschild cohomology, Menichi [Men] deduced a

Key words and phrases. Free loop space, Cyclic homology, Maurer Cartan, Symplectic reduction.

Lie bracket on the negative cyclic cohomology in a way similar to the one in string topology [CS, Section 6].

The starting point for this work was to obtain a generalization of the the results in [AZ] and place it in a more algebraic setting where the equivariant homology of the loop space is replaced by negative cyclic cohomology. A suitable setting for this is to consider a unital differential graded algebra A over a field $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} , with a reasonable trace $\text{Tr} : A \rightarrow k$. Using the results of [T], the above assumptions imply an isomorphism of the Hochschild cohomologies of A with values in A and its dual A^* , $HH^\bullet(A, A) \cong HH^\bullet(A, A^*)$, such that the cup product on $HH^\bullet(A, A)$ and the dual of Connes B -operator on $HH^\bullet(A, A^*)$ make these spaces into a BV algebra. This BV algebra, together with a Connes long exact sequence between the Hochschild cohomology $HH^\bullet(A, A^*)$ and negative cyclic cohomology $HC^\bullet(A)$, imply a Lie algebra structure on $HC^\bullet(A)$ by a theorem of Menichi's [Men, Proposition 7.1], which is based on a similar marking/erasing result of Chas and Sullivan [CS, Theorem 6.1].

Now, using work of Gan and Ginzburg in [GG], we may look at the moduli space of Maurer-Cartan solutions,

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{MC} = \{a \in A^{\text{odd}} \mid da + a \cdot a = 0\} / \sim$$

Since we only consider odd elements, the trace induces a symplectic structure ω on \mathcal{MC} , and thus one can define a Poisson bracket on the function ring $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{MC})$ of \mathcal{MC} . More details of this construction will be given in Section 3.

We may connect the two sides of the above discussion via a canonical map $\{a \in A^{\text{odd}} \mid da + a \cdot a = 0\} \rightarrow HC_\bullet^-(A)$, $a \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 0} 1 \otimes a^{\otimes n}$, and dualizing this gives a map $\rho : HC_\bullet^-(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{MC})$. We may now compare the two Lie algebras from above. Our main result then states, that the brackets are indeed preserved.

Theorem 1. $\rho : HC_\bullet^-(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{MC})$ is a map of Lie algebras.

In a special case considered in [AZ] this map becomes the generalized holonomy map from the equivariant homology of the free loop space of M to the space of functions on the moduli space of generalized flat connections on a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$. In fact one has a commutative diagram,

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} HC_\bullet^-(A) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{MC}) \\ & \swarrow \sigma & \searrow \Psi \\ & H_{2\bullet}^{S^1}(LM) & \end{array}$$

where Ψ is the generalized holonomy discussed in [AZ] and σ comes from Chen's iterated integral map, as described in Section 5. In particular, for $\dim M = 2$, this recovers Goldman's results on the space of flat connections on a surface.

Another motivation for this work was the study of the algebraic structure of the deformation complex of a CY manifold. We were interested in the Hochschild and cyclic complexes of $A = \Omega^{0,*}(M, \text{End}(E))$, the Dolbeault resolution of the endomorphisms of a strong generator E of the category of perfect complexes on an algebraic CY manifold. The discussion of this paper, once done at the chain level, relates to the algebraic structure of the deformation complex.

Finally, we remark, that the above discussion generalizes in a straight forward way to the case of a cyclic A_∞ algebra A . This will be the topic of the last Section 6.

In fact, by the same reasoning as above, we obtain the Lie bracket on the negative cyclic cohomology $HC_-^\bullet(A)$. Also, by symmetrization we may associate an L_∞ algebra to A , which induces a Maurer-Cartan space similar to (1). We find, that the canonical map ρ is still well-defined, such that Theorem 1 also remains valid in this generalized setting.

Notation: For a map F of complexes, F_\bullet (resp. F^\bullet) denotes the induced map in homology (resp. cohomology).

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank Victor Ginzburg and Luc Menichi for useful discussions and correspondence on this topic. The authors were partially supported by the Max-Planck Institute in Bonn and the second author warmly thanks the Laboratoire Jean Leray at the University of Nantes for their invitation throught the Matpyl program.

2. THE LIE ALGEBRA $HC_-^\bullet(A)$

In this section, we recall the Lie algebra structure of the negative cyclic cohomology $HC_-^\bullet(A)$, for a dga (A, d, \cdot) with a trace $\text{Tr} : A \rightarrow k$. The Lie bracket comes from the long exact sequence that relates negative cyclic (co-)homology to Hochschild (co-)homology. For simplicity, we will work in the normalized setting.

Definition 2. Let $(A = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} A^i, d : A^i \rightarrow A^{i+1}, \cdot)$ be a differential graded associative algebra over a field k , and let $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M^i$ be a differential graded A -bimodule. The (normalized) Hochschild chain complex defined as,

$$(3) \quad \bar{C}_\bullet(A, M) := \prod_{n \geq 0} M \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes n},$$

where $\bar{A} = A/k$, and s denotes shifting down by one. The boundary $\delta : \bar{C}_\bullet(A, M) \rightarrow \bar{C}_{\bullet+1}(A, M)$ is defined by,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) &:= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{\epsilon_i} a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes d(a_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{\epsilon_i} a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes (a_i \cdot a_{i+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n - (-1)^{\epsilon'_n} (a_n \cdot a_0) \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_0 \in M$, $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$, $\epsilon_0 = |a_0|$, $\epsilon_i = (|a_0| + \cdots + |a_{i-1}| + i - 1)$, and $\epsilon'_n = (|a_n| + 1) \cdot (|a_0| + \cdots + |a_{n-1}| + n - 1)$. Note that the differential is well defined; see [L]. Similarly, the (normalized) Hochschild cochain complex is defined by,

$$(4) \quad \bar{C}^n(A, M) := \left\{ f : s\bar{A}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow M \mid f(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = 0, \text{ if } a_i = 1 \right\},$$

where the differential $\delta^* : \bar{C}^\bullet(A, M) \rightarrow \bar{C}^{\bullet-1}(A, M)$ is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta^* f)(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) &:= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{|f|+\epsilon_i} f(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes da_i \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) \\ &+ d(f(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{|f|+\epsilon_i} f(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes (a_i \cdot a_{i+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) \\ &+ (-1)^{|f|+(|a_1|+1)} a_1 \cdot f(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) + (-1)^{|f|+\epsilon_n} f(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1}) \cdot a_n. \end{aligned}$$

The respective (co-)homology theories are denoted by

$$HH_{\bullet}(A, M) = H(\bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, M), \delta), \quad HH^{\bullet}(A, M) = H(\bar{C}^{\bullet}(A, M), \delta^*).$$

Denoting by $A^* = \text{Hom}(A, k)$ the graded dual of A , we see that the dual of $\bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A)$ is given by $\bar{C}^{\bullet}(A, A^*)$. Recall furthermore, that there is a cup product \cup on $\bar{C}^{\bullet}(A, A)$ defined by

$$(f \cup g)(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{m+n}) := f(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m) \cdot g(a_{m+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{m+n}).$$

Next, we define the (normalized) negative cyclic chains $\overline{CC}_{\bullet}^{-}(A)$ of A to be the vector space $\bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A)[[u]]$, where u is of degree $+2$, and with differential $\delta + uB$, where $B : \bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A) \rightarrow \bar{C}_{\bullet-1}(A, A)$ is Connes operator,

$$(5) \quad B(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) := \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{\epsilon_i} 1 \otimes a_i \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \otimes a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i-1},$$

where $\epsilon_i = (|a_i| + \cdots + |a_n| + n - i + 1)(|a_0| + \cdots + |a_{i-1}| + i - 1)$.

Thus, every element of $\overline{CC}_{\bullet}^{-}(A)$ is an infinite sum $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i u^i \in \bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A)[[u]]$, where $a_i \in \bar{C}_{n-2i}(A, A)$, δ acts on $a_i \in \bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A)$, and uB acts as

$$(6) \quad \cdots \xleftarrow{uB} \bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A) \cdot u^2 \xleftarrow{uB} \bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A) \cdot u \xleftarrow{uB} \bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A).$$

Dually, define the (normalized) negative cyclic cochains $\overline{CC}_{\bullet}^{\bullet}(A)$ of A by taking $\overline{CC}_{\bullet}^{\bullet}(A) = \bar{C}^{\bullet}(A, A^*) \otimes k[v, v^{-1}]/vk[v]$, where v is an element of degree -2 . Explicitly, the degree n part $\overline{CC}_{\bullet}^{\bullet}(A)$ is represented by finite sums $\sum_{i=0}^k a_i v^{-i}$ where $a_i \in \bar{C}^{n-2i}(A, A^*)$. The differential is given by $\delta^* + vB^*$, where δ^* acts on $\bar{C}^{\bullet}(A, A^*)$, and vB^* acts as follows.

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{vB^*} \bar{C}^{\bullet}(A, A^*) \cdot v^{-2} \xrightarrow{vB^*} \bar{C}^{\bullet}(A, A^*) \cdot v^{-1} \xrightarrow{vB^*} \bar{C}^{\bullet}(A, A^*).$$

Note, that if $C_{\bullet}(A, A)$ is finite dimensional in each degree, then the graded dual of $\overline{CC}_{\bullet}^{-}(A)$ is isomorphic to the chain complex $\overline{CC}_{\bullet}^{\bullet}(A) = \text{Hom}(\overline{CC}_{\bullet}^{-}(A), k)$, see also [HL, Lemma 3.7]. It is easy to see that $B^2 = \delta B + B\delta = 0$, and we define the associated (co-)homology theories by,

$$HC_{\bullet}^{-}(A) = H(\overline{CC}_{\bullet}^{-}(A), \delta + uB), \quad HC_{\bullet}^{\bullet}(A) = H(\overline{CC}_{\bullet}^{\bullet}(A), \delta^* + vB^*).$$

Lemma 3. *If $H_{\bullet}(A, A)$ is bounded from below, then both $\bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A)[u]$ and $\bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A)[[u]]$ with differential $\delta + uB$ calculate negative cyclic homology $HC_{\bullet}^{-}(A)$.*

This lemma follows from a spectral sequence argument for the inclusion $\bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A)[u] \hookrightarrow \bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A)[[u]]$, similarly to [HL, Lemma 3.6]. Note, that our sign convention is opposite to the one from [HL], but in agreement with [GJP], since our differential $\delta : \bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A) \rightarrow \bar{C}_{\bullet+1}(A, A)$ is of degree $+1$.

From now on, we additionally assume, that we also have a suitable trace map.

Definition 4. Let $\text{Tr} : A \rightarrow k$ be a trace map, satisfying $\text{Tr}(da) = 0$ and $\text{Tr}(ab) = -(-1)^{|a| \cdot |b|} \text{Tr}(ba)$, for all $a, b \in A$. Assume furthermore that the map $\omega : A \rightarrow A^*$, $\omega(a)(b) := \text{Tr}(ab)$ is a bimodule map, which induces an isomorphism on homology $H(A) \rightarrow H(A^*)$. By abuse of language, we will also view ω as a map $\omega : A \otimes A \rightarrow k$, $\omega(a, b) = \text{Tr}(ab)$. In this case, A is also called a *symmetric algebra*.

Notice that $\omega : A \rightarrow A^*$ induces a morphism of the Hochschild complexes $\omega_{\sharp} : \bar{C}^{\bullet}(A, A) \rightarrow \bar{C}^{\bullet}(A, A^*)$ via composition $\omega_{\sharp}(f) := \omega \circ f$, which is an isomorphism on

homology $\omega_{\sharp}^{\bullet} : H^{\bullet}(A, A) \rightarrow H^{\bullet}(A, A^*)$. We may thus transfer the cup product \cup on $H^{\bullet}(A, A)$ to a product \sqcup on $HH^{\bullet}(A, A^*)$, by setting $f \sqcup g := \omega_{\sharp}^{\bullet}((\omega_{\sharp}^{\bullet})^{-1} f \cup (\omega_{\sharp}^{\bullet})^{-1} g)$. Define furthermore the operator $\Delta : HH^{\bullet}(A, A^*) \rightarrow HH^{\bullet}(A, A^*)$ as the dual of B on homology. Then we assume, that $(HH^{\bullet}(A, A^*), \sqcup, \Delta)$ is a BV-algebra, *i.e.* \sqcup is a graded associative, commutative product, $\Delta^2 = 0$, and the bracket $\{a, b\} := (-1)^{|a|} \Delta(a \sqcup b) - (-1)^{|a|} \Delta(a) \sqcup b - a \sqcup \Delta(b)$ is a derivation in each variable.

Recall from Menichi [Men] that this BV-algebra induces a Lie algebra on the negative cyclic cohomology $HC_{\bullet}^{\bullet}(A)$ using the long exact sequences of Hochschild and negative cyclic cohomology. The inclusion $\overline{CC}_{\bullet}^{\bullet}(A) \xrightarrow{\times u} \overline{CC}_{\bullet}^{\bullet}(A)$ given by multiplication by u has cokernel $\bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A)$. We thus obtain a short exact sequence

$$(7) \quad 0 \rightarrow \overline{CC}_{\bullet}^{\bullet}(A) \xrightarrow{\times u} \overline{CC}_{\bullet}^{\bullet}(A) \rightarrow \bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A) \rightarrow 0,$$

which induces Connes long exact sequence of homology groups.

$$(8) \quad \cdots \rightarrow HH_n(A, A) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}_{\bullet}} HC_{n-1}^{\bullet}(A) \rightarrow HC_{n+1}^{\bullet}(A) \xrightarrow{I_{\bullet}} HH_{n+1}(A, A) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}_{\bullet}} \cdots$$

Here, the projection to the u^0 term $I : \overline{CC}_{\bullet}^{\bullet}(A) \rightarrow \bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A)$ induces the map I_{\bullet} , and the connecting map \mathcal{B}_{\bullet} , is induced by the composition $\bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A) \xrightarrow{B} \bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A) \xrightarrow{inc} \overline{CC}_{\bullet}^{\bullet}(A)$. Note, that unlike $inc \circ B : \bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A) \rightarrow \overline{CC}_{\bullet}^{\bullet}(A)$, the inclusion $inc : \bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A) \rightarrow \overline{CC}_{\bullet}^{\bullet}(A)$ is not a chain map.

Dually, we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bar{C}^{\bullet}(A, A) \rightarrow \overline{CC}_{\bullet}^{\bullet}(A) \rightarrow \overline{CC}_{\bullet}^{\bullet}(A) \rightarrow 0,$$

inducing Connes long exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$(9) \quad \cdots \rightarrow HH^n(A, A^*) \xrightarrow{I^{\bullet}} HC_{-}^n(A) \rightarrow HC_{-}^{n-2}(A) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}} HH^{n-1}(A, A^*) \xrightarrow{I^{\bullet}} \cdots$$

Notice that the composition

$$(10) \quad B^{\bullet} = \mathcal{B}^{\bullet} \circ I^{\bullet}$$

is exactly the Δ operator of our BV-algebra on $HH^{\bullet}(A, A^*)$, so that we may obtain an induced Lie algebra from [Men, Lemma 7.2], much like the marking/erasing situation in [CS].

Proposition 5 (L. Menichi [Men]). *The bracket $\{a, b\} := I^{\bullet}(\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}(a) \sqcup \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}(b))$ induces a Lie algebra structure on $HC_{\bullet}^{\bullet}(A)$.*

We end this section with some examples of the above definitions.

Examples 6. Let M be a smooth, compact and oriented Riemannian manifold.

- A first example is obtained by taking $A = \Omega^{\bullet}(M)$ the De Rham forms on M , $d = d_{DR}$ the exterior derivative on A , and $\text{Tr}(a) := \int_M a$.
- More generally, if $E \rightarrow M$ is a finite dimensional complex vector bundle over M , with a flat connection ∇ , then we may take $A = \Omega^{\bullet}(M, \text{End}(E))$ with the usual differential d_{∇} . Similarly, the trace is given by a combination of integration and trace in $\text{End}(E)$. The cyclic property of the trace guarantees that this induces an injective bimodule map $\omega : A \rightarrow A^*$ that is a quasi-isomorphism.
- Both of the above examples are special cases of elliptic Calabi-Yau space as defined in [C]. By definition, this means that we have a bundle of finite dimensional associative \mathbb{C} algebras over M , whose algebra of sections is

denoted by A . Furthermore, there is a differential operator $d : A \rightarrow A$, which is an odd derivative with $d^2 = 0$ making A into an elliptic complex, a \mathbb{C} linear trace $\text{Tr} : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, a hermitian metric $A \otimes A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and a complex antilinear, $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ linear operator $*$: $A \rightarrow A$, satisfying certain natural conditions. It can be seen that this example satisfies the above assumptions. The details and other examples of elliptic Calabi-Yau spaces can be found in [C] and [DT].

3. MAURER-CARTAN SOLUTIONS

In this section we define the moduli space of Maurer Cartan solutions for a symmetric algebra $A = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A^i$, and then explain its symplectic nature. The main reference for this section is the paper [GG] by Gan-Ginzburg, together with Section 4 of [AZ]. Let us assume $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} .

For $a, b \in A$ define the Lie bracket $[a, b] := a \cdot b - (-1)^{|a| \cdot |b|} b \cdot a$ and the bilinear form $\omega(a, b) := \text{Tr}(ab)$. The first remark is that $(A = A^{odd} \oplus A^{even}, d, [\cdot, \cdot], \omega)$ is a *cyclic differential graded Lie algebra* as it is defined in Section 4 of [AZ], therefore all results in [GG] applies here to define the Maurer-Cartan solutions.

Definition 7. We define the Maurer-Cartan moduli stack as

$$\begin{aligned} MC &:= \{a \in A^{odd} \mid da + \frac{1}{2}[a, a] = da + a \cdot a = 0\}, \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{MC} &:= MC / \sim, \end{aligned}$$

where the equivalence is generated by the infinitesimal action of A^0 on A , where for $a \in A^0$, the vector field ξ_x on A is defined by,

$$\xi_x(a) = [x, a] - dx.$$

Recall that ω is a symplectic form and the infinitesimal action is Hamiltonian. Moreover, the map $\mu : a \mapsto \phi_a \in (A^{even})^*$, where

$$\phi(x) = \omega(da + \frac{1}{2}[a, a], x),$$

is the moment map corresponding to the Hamiltonian action above. One should think of the tangent space $T_{[a]}\mathcal{MC}$ at a class $[a]$ as the 3-term complex

$$(11) \quad T_{[a]}\mathcal{MC} : T_{[a]}^{-1}\mathcal{MC} := A^{even} \xrightarrow{\xi(a)} T_{[a]}^0\mathcal{MC} := T_a A^{odd} = A^{odd} \xrightarrow{\mu'_a} T_{[a]}^1\mathcal{MC} := A^{even*},$$

graded by -1, 0 and 1. Here $\xi(a)$ is the map $x \mapsto \xi_x(a)$. The $\ker \mu'$ is the Zarisky tangent space to MC and the image of $\xi(a)$ accounts for the tangent space of the action orbit. Ideally, when 0 is a regular value for μ and the infinitesimal action of A^{even} on $MC = \mu^{-1}(0)$ is free, this complex is concentrated in degree zero and the Zarisky tangent space to \mathcal{MC} at $[a]$ is the cohomology group $H^0(T_{[a]}\mathcal{MC}) = H^*(A^{odd}, d_a)$ where $d_a b = db + [a, b]$.

Note that 3-term complex (11) is self-dual where the self-duality at the middle term is given by the symplectic form

$$(12) \quad \omega(X_a, Y_a) := \text{Tr}(X_a \cdot Y_a) \in k.$$

By assumption from the previous section, ω is non-degenerate. This gives rise to an isomorphism $T_{[a]}\mathcal{MC} \xrightarrow{\sim} (T_{[a]}\mathcal{MC})^*$ and equips $(T_{[a]}\mathcal{MC})$ with a symplectic form

given by (12). In the case of a nonsingular point $[a]$ this is the usual pairing on $H^0(T_{[a]}\mathcal{MC}) = H(A^{odd}, d_a)$ induced by ω .

The function space $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{MC})$ is defined to be the subspace of $\mathcal{O}(MC)$ invariant by the infinitesimal action. The symplectic form allows us to define the Hamiltonian vector field X^ψ of a function $\psi \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{MC})$ via

$$\omega(X_a^\psi, Y_a) = d\psi_a(Y_a) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{d}{dt} \psi(a + tY_a), \quad \forall Y_a \in T_{[a]}^1 \mathcal{MC}.$$

We then define the Poisson bracket on $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{MC})$ by,

$$\{\psi, \chi\} := \omega(X^\psi, X^\chi) = \text{Tr}(X^\psi \cdot X^\chi).$$

4. THE INDUCED LIE MAP

In this section, we define a map $\rho : HC_-^{2\bullet}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{MC})$, and prove it respects the brackets. We start by defining a map $P : MC \rightarrow \bar{C}_\bullet(A, A)$, and in turn the map $R : MC \rightarrow \overline{CC}_\bullet(A)$ which factors through P . Dualizing R will induce the wanted map ρ .

Definition 8. Recall that $MC = \{a \in A^{odd} \mid da + a \cdot a = 0\}$ and $\bar{C}_\bullet(A, A) = \prod_{n \geq 0} A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes n}$. Then, let $P : MC \rightarrow \bar{C}_\bullet(A, A)$ be given by the expression,

$$P(a) := \sum_{i \geq 0} 1 \otimes a^{\otimes i} = (1 \otimes 1) + (1 \otimes a) + (1 \otimes a \otimes a) + \dots$$

Notice that for $a \in MC$, it is $\delta(P(a)) = \sum 1 \otimes a \otimes \dots \otimes da \otimes \dots \otimes a + \sum 1 \otimes a \otimes \dots \otimes (a \cdot a) \otimes \dots \otimes a = 0$, due to the relation $da + a \cdot a = 0$ in MC . Thus, we obtain in fact a Hochschild homology class $[P(a)] \in HH_\bullet(A, A)$.

Next, define the map $R := inc \circ P$ as the composition $R : MC \xrightarrow{P} \bar{C}_\bullet(A, A) \xrightarrow{inc} \overline{CC}_\bullet(A)$. Just as above, we have that $\delta(R(a)) = 0$, and since we are in the normalized setting, we see that $B(R(a)) = 0$, so that $(\delta + uB)(R(a)) = 0$. The induced negative cyclic homology class is again denoted by $[R(a)] \in HC_-^\bullet(A)$. It is immediate to see that under the long exact sequence (8), we have that $I(R(a)) = P(a)$.

Using the pairing between negative cyclic homology and negative cyclic cohomology, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : HC_-^\bullet(A) \otimes HC_-^\bullet(A) \rightarrow k$, we define the map ρ by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho : HC_-^\bullet(A) &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{MC}), \\ \rho([\alpha])([a]) &:= \langle [\alpha], [R(a)] \rangle = \langle \alpha, R(a) \rangle, \quad \text{for } [\alpha] \in HC_-^\bullet(A), [a] \in \mathcal{MC}. \end{aligned}$$

To simplify notation, we will also write $\rho(\alpha)$ instead of $\rho([\alpha])$.

Lemma 9. ρ is well-defined.

Proof. We need to show that the value $\rho([\alpha])([a]) = \langle \alpha, R(a) \rangle$ is independent of the choice of the representative $[a] \in \{x \in A^{odd} \mid dx + x \cdot x = 0\} / \sim$. Infinitesimally, this amounts to showing that $L_{X(b)}\rho(\alpha)(a) = 0$, where $L_{X(b)}$ is the Lie derivative along a vector field in the direction $X(b)_a = db + [a, b] \in T_{[a]}MC$, for any $b \in A^{even}$. To see this, note that

$$\begin{aligned} L_{X(b)}\rho(\alpha)(a) &= (i_{X(b)} \circ d + d \circ i_{X(b)})\rho(\alpha)(a) \\ &= i_{X(b)} \circ d(\rho(\alpha))(a) \\ &= \left\langle \alpha, \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} R(a + tX(b)_a) \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Now, for any $Y_a \in T_{[a]}MC$, we have

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} R(a + tY_a) &= 1 \otimes Y_a + 1 \otimes Y_a \otimes a + 1 \otimes a \otimes Y_a + \cdots \\ &= \mathcal{B}(Y_a + (Y_a \otimes a) + (Y_a \otimes a \otimes a) + \cdots), \end{aligned}$$

where we used Connes operator $\mathcal{B} : \bar{C}_\bullet(A, A) \rightarrow \overline{CC}_\bullet(A)$ from in the long exact sequence (8) applied to $Y_a + (Y_a \otimes a) + (Y_a \otimes a \otimes a) \in \bar{C}_\bullet(A, A)$. Thus, setting $Y_a = X(b)_a = db + [a, b]$ in the above expression, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_{X(b)}\rho(\alpha)(a) &= \langle \alpha, \mathcal{B}(db + [a, b] + db \otimes a + [a, b] \otimes a \\ &\quad + db \otimes a \otimes a + [a, b] \otimes a \otimes a + \cdots) \rangle \\ &= \langle \alpha, \mathcal{B} \circ \delta(b + (b \otimes a) + (b \otimes a \otimes a) + \cdots) \rangle \\ &= \langle \alpha, \delta \circ \mathcal{B}(b + (b \otimes a) + (b \otimes a \otimes a) + \cdots) \rangle \\ &= \langle \delta^* \alpha, \mathcal{B}(b + (b \otimes a) + (b \otimes a \otimes a) + \cdots) \rangle \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

We are now ready to prove our main theorem.

Theorem 1. $\rho : HC_-^{2\bullet}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(MC)$ is a map of Lie algebras.

Proof. We saw in (13) that $\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} R(a + tY_a) = \mathcal{B}(Y_a + (Y_a \otimes a) + (Y_a \otimes a \otimes a) + \cdots) \in \overline{CC}_\bullet(A)$, where $(Y_a + (Y_a \otimes a) + (Y_a \otimes a \otimes a) + \cdots) \in \bar{C}_\bullet(A, A)$ for $Y_a \in T_{[a]}MC$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (d\rho(\alpha))_a(Y_a) &= \langle \alpha, \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} R(a + tY_a) \rangle \\ &= \langle \alpha, \mathcal{B}(Y_a + (Y_a \otimes a) + (Y_a \otimes a \otimes a) + \cdots) \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathcal{B}^* \alpha, Y_a + (Y_a \otimes a) + (Y_a \otimes a \otimes a) + \cdots \rangle \\ &= (\mathcal{B}^* \alpha)(1 + a + a \otimes a + \cdots)(Y_a), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha \in \overline{CC}_\bullet(A)$, $\mathcal{B}^* \alpha \in \bar{C}^\bullet(A, A^*)$, and thus $(\mathcal{B}^* \alpha)(\sum_{i \geq 0} a^{\otimes i}) \in A^*$. Now, using the isomorphism $\omega_\sharp^\bullet : HH^\bullet(A, A) \rightarrow HH^\bullet(A, A^*)$ from definition 4, we apply its inverse to obtain an element $[f_\alpha] := (\omega_\sharp^\bullet)^{-1} \mathcal{B}^* \alpha \in HH^\bullet(A, A)$. We then claim that the Hamiltonian vector field $X_a^{\rho(\alpha)}$ may be expressed as

$$(14) \quad X_a^{\rho(\alpha)} = f_\alpha \left(\sum_{i \geq 0} a^{\otimes i} \right) \in T_{[a]}MC.$$

This should be compared with [AZ, Lemma 7.2] and [Go, Proposition 3.7]. To this end, first note, that the relation $0 = (\delta^* f)(\sum_{i \geq 0} a^{\otimes i}) = d_a(f(\sum_{i \geq 0} a^{\otimes i}))$, for $f \in \bar{C}^\bullet(A, A)$, shows that $X_a^{\rho(\alpha)}$ given by equation (14), represents a well-defined class in $T_{[a]}MC$. We show (14), by applying the non-degeneracy of ω in the following equation, which is valid for any $Y_a \in T_{[a]}MC$,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(f_\alpha(\sum a^{\otimes i}), Y_a) &= \text{Tr}(f_\alpha(\sum a^{\otimes i}) \cdot Y_a) = (\omega_\sharp f_\alpha)(\sum a^{\otimes i})(Y_a) \\ &= (\mathcal{B}^* \alpha)(\sum a^{\otimes i})(Y_a) = (d\rho(\alpha))_a(Y_a) = \omega(X_a^{\rho(\alpha)}, Y_a). \end{aligned}$$

Now, calculating the Lie bracket gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(\{\alpha, \beta\})(a) &= \langle \{[\alpha], [\beta]\}, [R(a)] \rangle \\
&= \langle I^\bullet(\mathcal{B}^\bullet[\alpha] \sqcup \mathcal{B}^\bullet[\beta]), [R(a)] \rangle \\
&= \langle I^\bullet \omega_{\sharp}^\bullet((\omega_{\sharp}^\bullet)^{-1} \mathcal{B}^\bullet[\alpha] \cup (\omega_{\sharp}^\bullet)^{-1} \mathcal{B}^\bullet[\beta]), [R(a)] \rangle \\
&= \langle \omega_{\sharp}^\bullet([f_\alpha] \cup [f_\beta]), I_\bullet[R(a)] \rangle \\
&= \langle \omega_{\sharp}^\bullet([f_\alpha] \cup [f_\beta]), [P(a)] \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

To evaluate this expression, note that for $f_\alpha : \bar{A}^{\otimes m} \rightarrow A$ and $f_\beta : \bar{A}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A$, $\omega_{\sharp}^\bullet([f_\alpha] \cup [f_\beta])$ is represented by the composition

$$\bar{A}^{\otimes m+n} \xrightarrow{f_\alpha \otimes f_\beta} A \otimes A \xrightarrow{\cdot} A \xrightarrow{\omega} A^*.$$

The first arrow with $f_\alpha \otimes f_\beta$ applied to $P(a) = 1 + (1 \otimes a) + (1 \otimes a \otimes a) + \dots \in \prod_{i \geq 0} A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes i}$ then gives an expression, where we apply a to all possible inputs in $\bar{A}^{\otimes m+n}$. To this, we then apply the product in A , and apply ω with input $1 \in A$, since $P(a) = 1 \otimes (\dots)$. We thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(\{\alpha, \beta\})(a) &= \text{Tr}\left(f_\alpha(1 + a + a \otimes a + \dots) \cdot f_\beta(1 + a + a \otimes a + \dots) \cdot 1\right) \\
&\stackrel{(14)}{=} \text{Tr}(X_a^{\rho(\alpha)} \cdot X_a^{\rho(\beta)}) = \omega(X_a^{\rho(\alpha)}, X_a^{\rho(\beta)}) = \{\rho(\alpha), \rho(\beta)\}(a).
\end{aligned}$$

This is the claim of the theorem. \square

5. COMPARISON WITH GENERALIZED HOLONOMY

In this section we compare the map ρ with the generalized holonomy map Ψ studied in [AZ]. The relationship may be summarized in the diagram (2). This shows how a special case the result of this paper relates to the main theorem of [AZ]. The map $\text{Tr} : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is induced by the trace function on $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ and integration of forms on M ; see Example 6.

Our model of S^1 -equivariant de Rham forms of LM is $(\Omega(LM)[u], d + u\Delta)$ where u is a generator of degree 2 and $\Delta : \Omega^\bullet(LM) \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet-1}(LM)$ is the map induced by the S^1 action on LM ; see [GJP]. This model is quasi-isomorphic to the small Cartan model $(\Omega_{inv}(LM)[u], d + i_X u)$ for the S^1 action, where X is the fundamental vector field generated by the natural action of S^1 . The quasi-isomorphism is given by the averaging map $\Omega^\bullet(LM) \rightarrow \Omega_{inv}^\bullet(LM)$. More explicitly, for $\omega \in \Omega^\bullet(LM)$, $\Delta(\omega)$ is given by,

$$\Delta(\omega) = \int_{\text{fibre}} ev^*(\omega) \in \Omega^{\bullet-1}(LM)$$

$$(15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S^1 \times LM & \xrightarrow{ev} & LM \\ \downarrow \pi & & \\ LM & & \end{array}$$

Chen's iterated integral map and the trace map on \mathfrak{g} (see (6.3) [AZ], and Theorem A in [GJP]) yields a map, which we denote by,

$$S : (\bar{C}_\bullet(A, A), \delta) \rightarrow (\Omega^\bullet(LM), d).$$

S induces the map $S^{HH} : HH_{\bullet}(A, A) \longrightarrow H^{\bullet}(LM)$ on homology, and, after applying the pairing between homology and cohomology groups, we get,

$$H_{\bullet}(LM) \xrightarrow{\sigma^{HH}} HH^{\bullet}(A, A^*).$$

Extending S by u -linearity, we obtain a map, which we denote by abuse of notation by the same letter,

$$S : (\bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A)[u], \delta + uB) \rightarrow (\Omega^{\bullet}(LM)[u], d + u\Delta).$$

Since, by Lemma 3, $(\bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A)[u], \delta + uB)$ and $(\bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A)[[u]], \delta + uB)$ are quasi-isomorphic in our setting, we obtain the induced map $S^{HC} : HC_{\bullet}^{-}(A) \longrightarrow H_{S^1}^{\bullet}(LM)$ on homology. Composing S^{HC} with the map $R : MC \rightarrow \overline{CC}_{\bullet}^{-}(A) = \bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A)[u]$ from Section 4, we get,

$$MC \xrightarrow{R} HC_{\bullet}^{-}(A) \xrightarrow{S^{HC}} H_{S^1}^{\bullet}(LM).$$

Thus by duality, and using Lemma 9, we have,

$$H_{\bullet}^{S^1}(LM) \xrightarrow{\sigma = \sigma^{HC}} HC_{\bullet}^{\bullet}(A) \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathcal{O}(MC).$$

The composition $\rho \circ \sigma$ is the generalized holonomy map Ψ discussed in [AZ].

$$(16) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} HC_{\bullet}^{\bullet}(A) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathcal{O}(MC) \\ & \searrow \sigma & \nearrow \Psi \\ & H_{\bullet}^{S^1}(LM) & \end{array}$$

It was proved in [AZ], that Ψ is the morphism of Lie algebras. We will shortly see how this is a consequence of Theorem 1. We first recall the following theorem.

Theorem 10 (S. Merkulov [Mer]). *The Chen integral induces a map of algebras $(H_{\bullet}(LM), \bullet) \rightarrow (HH^{\bullet}(A, A), \sqcup)$.*

Thus, by definition, $\sigma^{HH} : (H_{\bullet}(LM), \bullet) \rightarrow (HH^{\bullet}(A, A^*), \sqcup)$ is also a map of algebras. With this, we can now prove the following statement.

Theorem 11. *The map induced by the Chen iterated integrals $\sigma : (H_{\bullet}^{S^1}(LM), \{\cdot, \cdot\}) \rightarrow (HC_{\bullet}^{\bullet}(A), \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ is a map of Lie algebras. Here, the first bracket is the string bracket and the second one is defined in the statement of Proposition 5.*

Proof. The brackets on $H_{\bullet}^{S^1}(LM)$ and $HC_{\bullet}^{\bullet}(A)$ are determined by the products on $H_{\bullet}(LM)$ and $HC^{\bullet}(A, A^*)$, together with the maps in the corresponding Gysin long exact sequences. By Theorem 10, it thus remains to show that the long exact sequences correspond to each other, *i.e.* that the following diagrams commute,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & H_{\bullet}^{S^1}(LM) & \xrightarrow{m_{\bullet}} & H_{\bullet+1}(LM) & \xrightarrow{e_{\bullet}} & H_{\bullet+1}^{S^1}(LM) & \longrightarrow & H_{\bullet-1}^{S^1}(LM) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow \sigma^{HH} & & \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow \sigma & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & HC_{\bullet}^{\bullet}(A) & \xrightarrow{B_{\bullet}} & HH^{\bullet+1}(A, A^*) & \xrightarrow{I_{\bullet}} & HC_{\bullet+1}^{\bullet}(A) & \longrightarrow & HC_{\bullet-1}^{\bullet}(A) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

Equivalently, we need to show the commutativity of the following dual sequence,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & HC_{\bullet}^-(A) & \xrightarrow{I_{\bullet}} & HH_{\bullet}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{B_{\bullet}} & HC_{\bullet-1}^-(A) \longrightarrow HC_{\bullet-1}^-(A) \longrightarrow \cdots \\ & & \downarrow S^{HC} & & \downarrow S^{HC} & & \downarrow S^{HH} & & \downarrow S^{HC} \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & H_{S^1}^{\bullet}(LM) & \xrightarrow{e_{\bullet}} & H^{\bullet}(LM) & \xrightarrow{m_{\bullet}} & H_{S^1}^{\bullet-1}(LM) \longrightarrow H_{S^1}^{\bullet-1}(LM) \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

The top long exact sequence is induced by the short exact sequence (7) while the bottom one is induced by the short exact sequence

$$(17) \quad 0 \rightarrow (\Omega^{\bullet}(M)[u], d + u\Delta) \xrightarrow{\times u} (\Omega^{\bullet}(M)[u], d + u\Delta) \xrightarrow{j} \Omega^{\bullet}(M) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $j(\sum a_i u^i) = a_0$, *cf.* [GS, Ma]. In this picture, m_{\bullet} corresponds to the connecting map of the long exact sequence (17). By a diagram chasing argument one finds that $m_{\bullet} = (i \circ \Delta)_{\bullet}$ where $i : \Omega^{\bullet}(M) \hookrightarrow \Omega^{\bullet}(M)[u]$ corresponds to $B_{\bullet} = (inc \circ B)_{\bullet}$ using Chen iterated integrals as corollary of Theorem A in [GJP]. Note that i is not a chain map, whereas $i \circ \Delta$ is a chain map, since $\Delta d = d\Delta$ and $\Delta^2 = 0$, (*cf.* [GJP]). \square

6. A_{∞} GENERALIZATION

The previous sections, given for the case of dgas (A, d, \cdot) with invariant inner product $\omega : A \otimes A \rightarrow k$, generalize in a straightforward way to the setting of cyclic A_{∞} algebras. In this section, we recall the relevant definitions (*cf.* [T]), and adopt the above to this situation.

Definition 12. An A_{∞} algebra on A consists of a sequence of maps $\{\mu_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, where $\mu_n : A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A$ is of degree $(2 - n)$, such that

$$\forall n \geq 1 : \sum_{\substack{k+l=n+1 \\ r=0, \dots, n-l}} (-1)^{\epsilon_r^i} \cdot \mu_k(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_l(a_{r+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{r+l}) \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = 0,$$

where $\epsilon_r^i = (l-1) \cdot (|a_1| + \cdots + |a_r| - r)$. A unit is an element $1 \in k \subset A^0$ such that $\mu_2(a, 1) = \mu_2(1, a) = a$, and $\mu_n(\cdots \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots) = 0$ for $n \neq 2$. Again, we write $\bar{A} = A/k$. We define the Hochschild chain complex of A with values in A or A^* to be the vector spaces $\bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A)$ and $\bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A^*)$ from equation (3) with the differentials modified as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta : \bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A) &\rightarrow \bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A), \delta(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = \sum \pm a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_k(\cdots) \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \\ &\quad + \sum \pm \mu_k(a_s \otimes \cdots \otimes a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_r) \otimes a_{r+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{s-1}, \\ \delta : \bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A^*) &\rightarrow \bar{C}_{\bullet}(A, A^*), \delta(a_0^* \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = \sum \pm a_0^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_k(\cdots) \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \\ &\quad + \sum \pm \mu_k^*(a_s \otimes \cdots \otimes a_0^* \otimes \cdots \otimes a_r) \otimes a_{r+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{s-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_k^*(a_s \otimes \cdots \otimes a_0^* \otimes \cdots \otimes a_r) \in A^*$ is given by

$$\mu_k^*(a_s \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \otimes a_0^* \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_r)(a) := \pm a_0^*(\mu_k(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_r \otimes a \otimes a_s \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n)).$$

Here, the signs are given by the usual Koszul rule, where we a factor of $(-1)^{\epsilon\epsilon'}$ is introduced, whenever elements of degree ϵ and ϵ' are being commuted. For an

explicit discussion of the signs, see *e.g.* [T]. Similarly, $\bar{C}^\bullet(A, A)$ and $\bar{C}^\bullet(A, A^*)$ are defined by the spaces from (4) with the modified differentials

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^* : \bar{C}^\bullet(A, A) &\rightarrow \bar{C}^\bullet(A, A), & \delta^* f(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) \\ &= \sum \pm f(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_k(\cdots) \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) + \sum \pm \mu_k(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f(\cdots) \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^* : \bar{C}^\bullet(A, A^*) &\rightarrow \bar{C}^\bullet(A, A^*), & \delta^* f(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) \\ &= \sum \pm f(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_k(\cdots) \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) + \sum \pm \mu_k^*(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f(\cdots) \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\delta^2 = 0$, $(\delta^*)^2 = 0$ in all the above cases, we obtain the associated homologies and cohomologies $H_\bullet(A, A)$, $H_\bullet(A, A^*)$, $H^\bullet(A, A)$, and $H^\bullet(A, A^*)$.

There is a generalized cup product \cup on $H^\bullet(A, A)$ induced by,

$$(f \cup g)(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) := \sum_{k \geq 2} \pm \mu_k(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f(\cdots) \otimes \cdots \otimes g(\cdots) \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n).$$

Furthermore, equation (5) defines an operator $B : \bar{C}_\bullet(A, A) \rightarrow \bar{C}_\bullet(A, A)$ with $B^2 = \delta B + B\delta = 0$. We define the negative cyclic chains $\overline{CC}_\bullet(A)$ of A to be the vector space $\bar{C}_\bullet(A, A)[[u]]$ with differential $\delta + uB$, and denote the negative cyclic homology by $HC_\bullet^-(A)$. Dualizing $\overline{CC}_\bullet(A)$, we obtain $\overline{CC}_\bullet^-(A)$ with dual differential and denote the negative cyclic cohomology by $HC_\bullet^+(A)$. For the same reasons as in Section 2, we obtain the long exact sequences (8) and (9).

Finally, assume we have a trace $\text{Tr} : A \rightarrow k$, such that the associated map $\omega : A \otimes A \rightarrow k$, $\omega(a, b) = \text{Tr}(\mu_2(a \otimes b))$ is a quasi-isomorphism, which satisfies for $n \geq 1$,

$$(18) \quad \omega(\mu_n(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n), a_{n+1}) = \pm \omega(\mu_n(a_{n+1} \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1}), a_n),$$

In this case, $\omega : A \rightarrow A^*$ induces a map of the Hochschild cohomologies $H^\bullet(A, A) \rightarrow H^\bullet(A, A^*)$, $\omega_\#^\bullet(f) = \omega \circ f$, which we assume to be an isomorphism. Thus, we may transfer the product \cup on $H^\bullet(A, A)$ to a product \sqcup on $H^\bullet(A, A^*)$. $(HH^\bullet(A, A^*), \sqcup, \Delta = B^*)$ is a BV-algebra, *cf.* [T], so that we obtain the Lie bracket $\{a, b\} := I^\bullet(\mathcal{B}^\bullet(a) \sqcup \mathcal{B}^\bullet(b))$ on $HC_\bullet^-(A)$ just as in Proposition 5.

Using this setup, we may now also generalize Section 3.

Definition 13. Recall that there are maps from the the n^{th} symmetric power of a vector space to the n^{th} tensor power $S^n : A^{\wedge n} \rightarrow A^{\otimes n}$, where $S^n(a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n} (-1)^{\epsilon_\sigma} (a_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{\sigma(n)})$. Defining $\nu_n : A^{\wedge n} \rightarrow A$ as $\nu_n := \mu_n \circ S^n$, we obtain an L_∞ algebra on A , *cf.* [LM, Theorem 3.1]. Furthermore, from (18), it is immediate to see that we have for $n \geq 1$,

$$\omega(\nu_n(a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n), a_{n+1}) = \pm \cdot \omega(\nu_n(a_{n+1} \wedge a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{n-1}), a_n).$$

For this L_∞ algebra, recall from [GG, Section 2] that the Maurer-Cartan solutions are defined by,

$$\begin{aligned} MC &:= \left\{ a \in A^{\text{odd}} \mid \nu_1(a) + \frac{1}{2!} \nu_2(a \wedge a) + \frac{1}{3!} \nu_3(a \wedge a \wedge a) + \cdots = 0 \right\}, \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{MC} &:= MC / \sim, \end{aligned}$$

where the equivalence is again generated by the infinitesimal action of A^{even} on A^{odd} , where for $a \in A^{even}$, the vector field ξ_x on A^{odd} is defined by,

$$\xi_x(a) = \nu_1(x) + \nu_2(a \wedge x) + \frac{1}{2!}\nu_3(a \wedge a \wedge x) + \cdots .$$

Note, that under the above assumptions the tangent space to \mathcal{MC} at $[a]$ is the self-dual 3-term complex,

(19)

$$T_{[a]}^{-1}\mathcal{MC} : T_{[a]}^{-1}\mathcal{MC} := A^{even} \xrightarrow{\xi(a)} T_{[a]}^0\mathcal{MC} := T_a A^{odd} = A^{odd} \xrightarrow{\mu'_a} T_{[a]}^1\mathcal{MC} := A^{even*},$$

where

$$\mu'_a(b) = \nu_1(b) + \nu_2(a \wedge b) + \frac{1}{2!}\nu_3(a \wedge a \wedge b) + \cdots .$$

The self-duality at the middle term is given by the symplectic form

$$\omega(X_a, Y_a) = \text{Tr}(\mu_2(X_a \otimes Y_a)) \in k.$$

This can be used to define the Hamiltonian vector field X^ψ associated to a function $\psi \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{MC})$, and thus the Lie bracket on $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{MC})$ via the usual formula $\{\psi, \chi\} = \omega(X^\psi, X^\chi)$.

We may now define the map $P : \mathcal{MC} \rightarrow \overline{C}_\bullet(A, A)$ by

$$P(a) := \sum_{i \geq 0} 1 \otimes a^{\otimes i} = (1 \otimes 1_{A^{\otimes 0}}) + (1 \otimes a) + (1 \otimes a \otimes a) + \cdots ,$$

and $R = inc \circ P : \mathcal{MC} \rightarrow \overline{CC}_\bullet(A)$. As in definition 8, we may again see, that $\delta(P(a)) = 0$, and $(\delta + uB)(R(a)) = 0$, and we define

$$\begin{aligned} \rho : HC^{2\bullet}(A) &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{MC}), \\ \rho([\alpha])([a]) &:= \langle [\alpha], [R(a)] \rangle = \langle \alpha, R(a) \rangle, \quad \text{for } [\alpha] \in HC^\bullet(A), [a] \in \mathcal{MC}. \end{aligned}$$

With this, we have the same theorem as in the previous sections.

Theorem 14. *The map ρ is a well-defined map of Lie algebras.*

REFERENCES

- [AZ] H. Abbaspour, M. Zeinalian, *String bracket and flat connections*. Algebraic & Geometric Topology, **7** (2007) 197-231.
- [AB] M. Atiyah, R. Bott, *The moment map and equivariant cohomology*. Topology **23** (1984), no. 1, 1-28.
- [CFP] A.S. Cattaneo, J. Fröhlich, W. Pedrini, Topological field theory interpretation of string topology. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **240** (2003), no. 3, 397-421.
- [CCR] A.S. Cattaneo, P. Cotta-Ramusino, M. Rinaldi, Loop and path spaces and four-dimensional *BF* theories: connections, holonomies and observables. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **204** (1999), no. 3, 493-524.
- [CR] A.S. Cattaneo, C.A. Rossi, *Higher-dimensional BF theories in the Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism: the BV action and generalized Wilson loops*. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **221** (2001), no. 3, 591-657.
- [CS] M. Chas, D. Sullivan, *String topology* (to appear in Ann. of Math) math.GT/9911159.
- [C] K. Costello, *Topological conformal field theories and gauge theories* Accepted for publication in *Geometry & Topology*, **11** (2007), 1539-1579.
- [CTZ] K. Costello, T. Tradler, M. Zeinalian, *Closed string TCFT for hermitian Calabi-Yau alliptic spaces*.
- [DT] S.K. Donaldson, R.P. Thomas *Gauge theory in higher dimensions*, The geometric universe (Oxford, 1996), pages 31-47. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, (1998)

- [GG] W. L. Gan, V. Ginzburg, *Hamiltonian reduction and Maurer-Cartan equations*. Mosc. Math. J. **4** (2004), no. 3, 719–727, 784.
- [GJP] E. Getzler, JDS Jones, S. Petrack, *Differential forms on loop spaces and the cyclic bar complex*. Topology **30** (1991), no. 3, 339–371.
- [Go] W. M. Goldman, *Invariant functions on Lie groups and Hamiltonian flows of surface group representations*. Invent. Math. **85** (1986), no. 2, 263–302.
- [Gi] P. Gilkey, *The index theorem and the heat equation. Notes by Jon Sacks*. Mathematics Lecture Series, No. 4. Publish or Perish, Inc., Boston, Mass., 1974.
- [GKM] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz and R. MacPherson, *Equivariant cohomology, Koszul duality, and the localization theorem*. Invent. Math. **131** (1998), no. 1, 25–83.
- [GS] V. Guillemin, S. Sternberg, *Supersymmetry and equivariant de Rham theory*. Mathematics Past and Present. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [HL] A. Hamilton, A. Lazarev, *Symplectic A_∞ algebras and string topology operations*, arXiv:0707.4003v2
- [J] J.D.S. Jones, *Cyclic homology and equivariant homology*. Invent. math. **87**, 403–423 (1987).
- [K] R. Kobayashi, *Differential geometry of complex vector bundles*. Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan, 15. Kan Memorial Lectures, 5. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ; Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo, 1987
- [LM] T. Lada, M. Markl, *Strongly homotopy Lie algebras*. Comm. Algebra **23** (1995), no. 6, 2147–2161.
- [L] J.-L. Loday, *Cyclic Homology*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 1998, Springer Verlag.
- [Ma] P. Manoharan, *The equivariant de Rham theorem on Fréchet S^1 manifolds*. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **11** (1993), no. 2, 119–123.
- [Men] L. Menichi, *Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras and cyclic cohomology of Hopf algebras*, arXiv:math/0311276.
- [Mer] S. Merkulov, *De Rham model for string topology*. Int. Math. Res. Not. **2004**, no. 55, 2955–2981.
- [T] T. Tradler, *The BV-algebra on Hochschild cohomology induced by infinity inner products*, to be published in Annales de L'institut Fourier
- [TZ] T. Tradler, M. Zeinalian, *Algebraic String Operations*, K-Theory **38** (2007), no. 1, 59–82.

Laboratoire Jean Leray, Université de Nantes, Nantes 44300, France. abbaspour@univ-nantes.fr

College of Technology of the City University of New York, 11201 New York. Max-Planck Institut für Mathematik, Bonn 53111, Germany. ttradler@citytech.cuny.edu

Long Island University, C.W. Post College, Brookville, NY 11548, USA. mzeinalian@liu.edu