

# The Mixing Time of Glauber Dynamics for Colouring Regular Trees\*

Leslie Ann Goldberg<sup>†</sup>     Mark Jerrum<sup>‡</sup>     Marek Karpinski<sup>§</sup>

## Abstract

We consider Metropolis Glauber dynamics for sampling proper  $q$ -colourings of the  $n$ -vertex complete  $b$ -ary tree when  $3 \leq q \leq b/(2 \ln b)$ . We give both upper and lower bounds on the mixing time. Our upper bound is  $n^{O(b/\log b)}$  and our lower bound is  $n^{\Omega(b/(q \log b))}$ , where the constants implicit in the  $O()$  and  $\Omega()$  notation do not depend upon  $n$ ,  $q$  or  $b$ .

## 1 Introduction

This paper proves both upper and lower bounds on the mixing time of Glauber dynamics for colourings of regular trees. Specifically, we consider Metropolis Glauber dynamics for sampling proper  $q$ -colourings of the  $n$ -vertex complete  $b$ -ary tree when  $3 \leq q \leq b/(2 \ln b)$ . We give both upper and lower bounds on the mixing time, providing reasonably precise information on the dependence of the mixing time on  $n$ ,  $b$  and  $q$ . For given  $q$  and  $b$ , our upper bound is  $n^{O(b/\log b)}$  and our lower bound is  $n^{\Omega(b/(q \log b))}$ , where the constants implicit in the  $O()$  and  $\Omega()$  notation do not depend upon  $n$ ,  $q$  or  $b$ . Since  $b/(q \log b)$  and  $b/\log b$  are both bounded away from zero, one could equivalently say that the mixing time is sandwiched between  $n^{c_1 b/(q \ln b)}$  and  $n^{c_2 b/\ln b}$ , where  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  are constants.

At the time of publication, the results presented here have been sharpened in two stages. Lucier and Molloy, and the same authors with Peres [12], have established the asymptotically correct exponent in the mixing time. (It is our lower bound that is tight.) They also generalised the setting for the upper bounds to non-regular trees. Very recently, Tetali, Vera, Vigoda and Yang [20] have pinned down the constant in the exponent and located the phase transition between “nearly linear” (i.e.  $n^{1+o(b)}$ ) and superlinear mixing time.

---

\*Partly funded by the EPSRC grants EP/E062482/1 and EP/E064906/1.

<sup>†</sup>Department of Computer Science, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 3BX, UK.

<sup>‡</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Queen Mary, University of London, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, UK.

<sup>§</sup>Department of Computer Science and the Hausdorff Center for Mathematics, University of Bonn, 53117 Bonn.

<sup>¶</sup>The authors thank the Hausdorff Research Institute for Mathematics for the kind support.

## 2 Previous work

There has been quite a bit of work on Markov chains for sampling the proper  $q$ -colourings of an input graph. Much of this work focusses on *Glauber dynamics*, which is a general term for a Markov chain which updates the colour of one vertex at a time. Proper colourings correspond to configurations in the *zero-temperature Potts model* from statistical physics, and there is a close connection between the mixing time of Glauber dynamics and the qualitative properties of the model. In particular, rapid mixing, specifically  $O(n \log n)$  mixing for an  $n$ -vertex sub-graph of an infinite graph, often coincides with the case in which the model has a unique infinite-volume Gibbs measure on the infinite graph. See Weitz's PhD thesis [21] and Martinelli's lecture notes [13] for an exposition of this material.

Martinelli, Sinclair and Weitz [14] consider Glauber dynamics on the complete  $n$ -vertex tree with branching factor  $b$ . They show that for  $q \geq b+3$ , Glauber dynamics for sampling proper  $q$ -colourings mixes in  $O(n \log n)$  time for arbitrary boundary conditions. This result is optimal in the sense that for  $q \leq b+2$  there are boundary conditions for which Glauber dynamics is not even ergodic.

It is also interesting to determine whether Glauber dynamics is rapidly mixing for smaller  $q$  in the absence of boundary conditions. Berger, Kenyon, Mossel and Peres [2, Prop. 2.5] prove that the mixing time of Glauber dynamics for quite general spin systems on complete trees is polynomial. Their result includes the case of Glauber dynamics on proper  $q$ -colourings, for any  $q \geq 3$ . Our upper bound applies specifically to  $q$ -colourings, but has the advantage of providing an explicit expression for the exponent of the mixing time in terms of  $b$ .

Perhaps the main contribution of this paper lies in the lower bound on mixing time. Bhatnagar, Vera and Vigoda [3] consider *reconstruction* on the complete tree with branching factor  $b$ . They show that for  $C = 2$  and  $q > C(b+1)/\ln(b+1)$  non-reconstruction holds, meaning that, over random colourings of the leaves, the expected influence on the root is vanishing. It is known [15] that the expected influence is non-vanishing for a sufficiently large  $q$  satisfying  $q \leq (1 - \varepsilon)(b+1)/\ln(b+1)$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . This non-vanishing influence implies [2, 3] that the mixing time  $\tau(\delta)$  of Glauber dynamics cannot be  $O(n(\log n + \log \delta^{-1}))$ . Here we provide a much stronger polynomial bound that holds when  $q$  is just a modest constant factor smaller than this. Again, the exponent is given explicitly in terms of  $b$  and  $q$ .

It is worth mentioning that the possibility of polynomial mixing time in the regime  $q < b+1$  (interpreting  $b+1$  as vertex degree) has been studied in situations other than complete trees. Goldberg, Martin and Paterson [9], and Luby, Randall and Sinclair [11] have treated 3-colourings of finite subregions of the 2-dimensional integer lattice. Hayes, Vera and Vigoda [10], and Dyer, Flaxman, Frieze and Vigoda [6] have investigated  $q$ -colourings in planar graphs and random graphs, respectively.

### 3 Proof techniques

The upper bound argument is based on canonical paths. The lower bound argument is based on conductance. Essentially, the argument is that it takes a while to move from a colouring in which the colour of the root is forced to be one colour by the induced colouring on the leaves to a colouring in which the colour of the root is forced to be another colour. This is similar to the *recursive majority* idea [2] used to prove a lower bound for the Ising model.

### 4 The problem

Fix  $b \geq 2$  and  $q \geq 3$ . Let  $[q] = \{0, \dots, q - 1\}$ . Suppose  $T$  is a complete  $b$ -ary tree of height  $H$  — meaning that there are  $H$  edges on a path from the root  $r(T)$  to any leaf. Let  $V$  be the set of vertices of  $T$  and  $n = |V|$ . Let  $L$  be the set of leaves of  $T$ . Note that

$$n = \frac{b^{H+1} - 1}{b - 1}$$

so

$$H = \frac{\log((b - 1)n + 1)}{\log b} - 1. \tag{1}$$

The *height*  $h(v)$  of a vertex  $v \in V$  is the number of edges on a path from  $v$  down to a leaf. So a vertex  $v \in L$  has  $h(v) = 0$  and  $h(r(T)) = H$ .

For any vertex  $v$  of  $T$ ,  $T_v$  denotes the subtree of  $T$  rooted at  $v$ . For any subtree  $T_v$ , let  $V(T_v)$  be the set of vertices of  $T_v$  and let  $L(T_v)$  be the set of leaves. A *proper  $q$ -colouring* of  $T_v$  is a labelling of the vertices with elements of  $[q]$  such that neighbouring vertices receive different colours. Let  $\Omega(T_v)$  be the set of proper  $q$ -colourings of  $T_v$  and  $\Omega = \Omega(T_{r(T)})$  be the set of proper  $q$ -colourings of  $T$ .

For a colouring  $x \in \Omega$ , let  $x(T_v)$  denote the restriction of  $x$  to the vertices in the subtree  $T_v$ . Similarly, for a set  $U \subseteq V(T_v)$  and a colouring  $x \in \Omega(T_v)$ ,  $x(U)$  denotes the restriction of  $x$  to  $U$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the Metropolis Glauber dynamics for sampling from  $\Omega$ . To move from one colouring to another, this chain selects a vertex  $v$  and a colour  $c$  uniformly at random. The vertex  $v$  is re-coloured with  $c$  if and only if this results in a proper colouring. If  $q \geq 3$  then the set of proper colourings is connected and  $\mathcal{M}$  converges to the uniform distribution on  $\Omega$ , which we call  $\pi$ . The goal is to study the mixing time of  $\mathcal{M}$  as a function of  $n$ ,  $b$  and  $q$ . Let  $P$  be the transition matrix of  $\mathcal{M}$ . The *variation distance* between distributions  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  on  $\Omega$  is

$$\|\theta_1 - \theta_2\| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i |\theta_1(i) - \theta_2(i)| = \max_{A \subseteq \Omega} |\theta_1(A) - \theta_2(A)|.$$

For a state  $x \in \Omega$ , the mixing time of  $\mathcal{M}$  from starting state  $x$  is

$$\tau_x(\mathcal{M}, \delta) = \min \{t > 0 : \|P^{t'}(x, \cdot) - \pi(\cdot)\| \leq \delta \text{ for all } t' \geq t\}.$$

The mixing time of  $\mathcal{M}$  is given by

$$\tau(\mathcal{M}, \delta) = \max_x \tau_x(\mathcal{M}, \delta).$$

Our results are as follows, where  $\lg$  denotes the base-2 logarithm and  $\ln$  denotes the natural logarithm.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose  $q \geq 3$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the Metropolis Glauber dynamics for sampling proper  $q$ -colourings of the  $n$ -vertex complete  $b$ -ary tree. Then for fixed  $q$  and  $b$  the mixing time  $\tau(\mathcal{M}, 1/(2e))$  is  $n^{O(b/\log b)}$  where the constant implicit in the  $O()$  notation does not depend upon  $n$ ,  $q$  or  $b$ . In particular,*

$$\tau(\mathcal{M}, 1/(2e)) \leq 3bq^2(1 + \lg(n))n^{3+3b/\ln b}.$$

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose  $3 \leq q \leq b/(2 \ln b)$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the Metropolis Glauber dynamics for sampling proper  $q$ -colourings of the  $n$ -vertex complete  $b$ -ary tree. Then for fixed  $q$  and  $b$  the mixing time  $\tau(\mathcal{M}, 1/(2e))$  is  $n^{\Omega(b/(q \log b))}$  where the constant implicit in the  $\Omega()$  notation does not depend upon  $n$ ,  $q$  or  $b$ . In particular,*

$$\tau(\mathcal{M}, 1/(2e)) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2e}\right) \frac{2}{9} n^{\frac{b-2}{6(q-1)\ln b}}.$$

## 5 Bounds on $H$

The calculations arising in the derivation of Theorems 1 and 2 involve  $H$ . It is clear from Equation (1) that  $H = \Theta(\log(n)/\log b)$ . Since we give explicit bounds in the statement of the theorems, we also require upper and lower bounds on  $H$ . We record these here. Note that the bounds can be improved, but we prefer to avoid the complication.

**Lemma 3.**  *$H + 1 \leq \lg(n) + 1$  and  $H \leq \ln(n)/\ln b$ . If  $n \geq b^3$  then  $H - 1 \geq \ln(n)/(3 \ln b)$ .*

*Proof.* For the first upper bound, use Equation (1) to see that

$$H + 1 = \log_b((b-1)n + 1) \leq \log_b(bn) = 1 + \log_b n \leq 1 + \lg n,$$

since  $n \geq 1$  and  $b \geq 2$ . For the second upper bound, note that

$$H = \frac{\ln(n(b-1+1/n))}{\ln b} - 1 = \frac{\ln n}{\ln b} - \frac{\ln b - \ln(b-1+1/n)}{\ln b} \leq \frac{\ln n}{\ln b}.$$

Finally, for the lower bound, note that

$$H - 1 = \frac{\ln(n(b-1+1/n))}{\ln b} - 2 = \frac{\ln n}{\ln b} + \frac{\ln(b-1+1/n)}{\ln b} - 2.$$

Dropping the non-negative middle term, this is at least  $\ln(n)/\ln(b) - 2$ , which gives the result since  $\ln(n)/(3 \ln b) \geq 1$ .  $\square$

## 6 The upper bound

In this section we prove Theorem 1. We will use the canonical paths method of Jerrum and Sinclair [19]. Let  $\mathcal{M}'$  be the trivial Markov chain on  $\Omega$  that moves from a state  $x$  to a new state  $y$  by selecting  $y$  u.a.r. from  $\Omega$ . Let  $P'$  be the transition matrix of  $\mathcal{M}'$ . Clearly, for any  $\delta' > 0$ ,  $\tau(\mathcal{M}', \delta') = 1$ . We will define canonical paths between pairs of colourings in  $\Omega$ . These canonical paths will constitute what is called an  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}')$ -flow. Then Theorem 1 follows from the following proposition (which is Observation 13 in the expository paper [8]) taking  $A(f)$  to be the *congestion* of the flow and  $c$  to be  $1/q$ . The proof of Proposition 4 combines Diaconis and Saloff Coste's comparison method [4] with upper and lower bounds on mixing time [1, 5, 18] along lines first proposed by Randall and Tetali [17]. See [8] for details.

**Proposition 4.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a reversible ergodic Markov chain with transition matrix  $P$  and stationary distribution  $\pi$  and that  $\mathcal{M}'$  is another reversible ergodic Markov chain with the same stationary distribution. Suppose that  $f$  is a  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}')$ -flow. Let  $c = \min_x P(x, x)$ , and assume  $c > 0$ . Then, for any  $0 < \delta' < 1/2$ ,*

$$\tau_x(\mathcal{M}, \delta) \leq \max \left\{ A(f) \left[ \frac{\tau(\mathcal{M}', \delta')}{\ln(1/2\delta')} + 1 \right], \frac{1}{2c} \right\} \ln \frac{1}{\delta\pi(x)}.$$

□

For each pair of distinct colourings  $x, y \in \Omega$  we will construct a path  $\gamma_{x,y}$  from  $x$  to  $y$  using transitions of  $\mathcal{M}$ . This gives an  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}')$ -flow  $f$  with congestion

$$\begin{aligned} A(f) &= \max_{z,w} \frac{1}{\pi(z)P(z,w)} \sum_{x,y:(z,w) \in \gamma_{x,y}} |\gamma_{x,y}| \pi(x) P'(x,y) \\ &= \frac{nq}{|\Omega|} \max_{z,w} \sum_{x,y:(z,w) \in \gamma_{x,y}} |\gamma_{x,y}|, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where the maximum is over pairs of distinct states  $z$  and  $w$  in  $\Omega$  with  $P(z, w) > 0$  (hence,  $P(z, w) = 1/nq$ ) and  $|\gamma_{x,y}|$  denotes the length of  $\gamma_{x,y}$ , which is the number of transitions on the path. We will prove the following lemma below.

**Lemma 5.** *The canonical paths correspond to an  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}')$ -flow  $f$  with  $A(f) \leq bq(H + 1)n^2 9^{bH}$ .*

Theorem 1 follows. Combining Proposition 4 with  $\delta' = 1/2e^2$  and Lemma 5, we get

$$\tau_x(\mathcal{M}, \delta) \leq bq(H + 1)n^2 9^{bH} \left( \frac{1}{2} + 1 \right) \ln(|\Omega|/\delta).$$

Since  $|\Omega| \leq q^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_x(\mathcal{M}, 1/(2e)) &\leq bq(H + 1)n^2 9^{bH} \left( \frac{1}{2} + 1 \right) \ln(2eq^n) \\ &\leq (H + 1)bqn^2 \frac{3}{2} (2 + n \ln(q)) 9^{bH} \\ &\leq (H + 1)bq^2 n^3 3e^{3bH}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1 then follows by applying the two upper bounds in Lemma 3.

## Proof of Lemma 5

### Defining the canonical paths: a special case

We start by defining paths between colourings  $x$  and  $y$  for the special case in which, for all  $v \in V$ ,  $y(v) = x(v) + 1 \pmod{q}$ . The sequence of colourings on the path is defined to be the sequence of colourings visited by procedure  $Cycle^+$  below when it is called with the input  $T$ , which is initially coloured  $x$ .

Here is the description of procedure  $Cycle^+(\widehat{T})$ , where  $\hat{x}$  is a global variable, representing the current colouring of tree  $T$ , and the input parameter  $\widehat{T}$  may be any of the subtrees  $T_v$ .

1. Let  $\widehat{T}_1, \dots, \widehat{T}_b$  be the subtrees rooted at the children of  $r(\widehat{T})$  and let  $S = \{i : x(r(\widehat{T}_i)) + 1 \neq x(r(\widehat{T})) \pmod{q}\}$ ,
2. For each  $i \in S$  do  $Cycle^+(\widehat{T}_i)$ .
3. Recolour the root  $r(\widehat{T})$  so that  $\hat{x}(r(\widehat{T})) = x(r(\widehat{T})) + 1 \pmod{q}$ .
4. For each  $i \notin S$  do  $Cycle^+(\widehat{T}_i)$ .

Since  $q \geq 3$ , we are guaranteed that  $x(r(\widehat{T})) + 1 \neq \hat{x}(r(\widehat{T}_i)) \pmod{q}$ , for all  $i$ , after line 2; this ensures that the root can be recoloured in line 3.

The procedure  $Cycle^+$  is useful in the construction of more general canonical paths. When recolouring a non-leaf vertex  $v$  it will generally be necessary to change the colours of some of the children of  $v$  to avoid clashes. Applying the procedure  $Cycle^+$  to the subtrees beneath  $v$  provides a systematic means to achieve this.

### Analysis of the special case

Suppose we observe a transition at some point during the execution of the procedure  $Cycle^+(\widehat{T})$ , in which the colouring  $\hat{x}$  is transformed by adding 1 to the colour of some vertex  $v$  (modulo  $q$ ). How many initial colourings  $x(\widehat{T})$  (and hence how many final colourings  $y(\widehat{T})$ ) are consistent with this observed transition?

We will let  $s(h)$  denote the maximum number of consistent initial colourings  $x(\widehat{T})$ , maximised over all trees  $\widehat{T}$  of height  $h$  and over all possible transitions. We will compute an upper bound on  $s(h)$ .

**Case 1:** Suppose that  $v = r(\widehat{T})$ .

The subtrees  $\widehat{T}_i$  with  $i \in S$  have already been processed by the time that the transition takes place, so  $\hat{x}(T_i) = y(T_i)$  for these trees. The subtrees with  $i \notin S$  are yet to be processed, so for these trees we have  $\hat{x}(T_i) = x(T_i)$ . However, we do not know the set  $S$  from observing the transition from  $\hat{x}$ . Thus, as many as  $2^b$  initial colourings  $x(\widehat{T})$  may be consistent with the observed transition from  $\hat{x}$ .

**Case 2:** Otherwise,  $v$  is in one of the subtrees  $\widehat{T}_k$  rooted at one of the children of  $r(\widehat{T})$ . Then, by the argument of Case 1, there are two choices for the initial colouring  $x(T_i)$  of every subtree with  $i \neq k$ ; also there are two possibilities for  $x(r(\widehat{T}))$ , since we don't know whether line (3) has been executed at the point of the transition. Then  $s(h)$  satisfies the recurrence  $s(h) \leq \max\{2^b, 2^b s(h-1)\}$  with initial condition  $s(0) = 1$ . Solving the recurrence, we discover that at most

$$s(h) \leq 2^{bh} \tag{3}$$

initial colourings  $x(\widehat{T})$  are consistent with the observed transition, so there are at most  $s(H) \leq 2^{bH}$  initial colourings  $x$  of  $T$  consistent with an observed transition of the procedure  $Cycle^+(T)$

### Defining the canonical paths: the general case

Let  $Cycle^-$  be defined analogously to  $Cycle^+$  but implementing the permutation of colours that subtracts 1 (modulo  $q$ ) from every colour; that is,  $y(v) = x(v) - 1 \pmod{q}$  for all  $v \in V$ .

Let  $F \subset [q]$  be a set of ‘‘forbidden colours’’ of size at most two. Given  $Cycle^+$  and  $Cycle^-$  it is easy to implement a procedure  $Cycle(\widehat{T}, F)$  that systematically recolours the tree  $\widehat{T}$  so that the new colour assigned to  $r(\widehat{T})$  avoids the forbidden colours  $F$ : simply apply  $Cycle^+$  or  $Cycle^-$  or neither in order to bring a colour not in  $F$  to the root of  $\widehat{T}$ . If we observe a transition during the execution of  $Cycle(\widehat{T}, F)$  we can tell whether it comes from  $Cycle^+$  or from  $Cycle^-$ .

The recursive procedure *Recolour*, to be described presently, provides a systematic approach to transforming an arbitrary initial colouring  $x$  to an arbitrary final colouring  $y$  using single-vertex updates. In doing so, it defines canonical paths between arbitrary pairs of proper colourings  $x$  and  $y$  of  $T$ . The sequence of colourings on the path  $\gamma_{x,y}$  is defined to be the sequence of colourings visited by procedure *Recolour* when it is called with the input  $T$  (which is initially coloured  $x$ ) and with colouring  $y$ .

Like  $Cycle^+$ , the procedure *Recolour* takes an argument  $\widehat{T}$ , which is the tree which will be recoloured from  $x(\widehat{T})$  to  $y(\widehat{T})$ . It also takes the argument  $y$ . As before,  $\hat{x}$  is a global variable representing the current colouring of the tree  $T$ , which is initially coloured  $x$ . Here is the description of procedure  $Recolour(\widehat{T}, y)$ .

1. Let  $\widehat{T}_1, \dots, \widehat{T}_b$  be the subtrees rooted at the children of  $r(\widehat{T})$ .
2. For each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq b$ , do  $Cycle(\widehat{T}_i, \{x(r(\widehat{T})), y(r(\widehat{T}))\})$ . (This step permutes the colours in a subtree, to allow the root to be recoloured in the following step.)
3. Assign the root  $r(\widehat{T})$  its final colour  $y(r(\widehat{T}))$ .
4. For each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq b$ , do  $Recolour(\widehat{T}_i, y)$ .

## Analysis of the canonical paths

Suppose we observe a transition at some point during the execution of a procedure call  $Recolour(\widehat{T}, y)$  when  $\widehat{T}$  has height  $h$ . Let  $P(h)$  be an upper bound on the number of pairs  $(x(\widehat{T}), y(\widehat{T}))$  consistent with this transition, maximised over all trees  $\widehat{T}$  of height  $h$  and over all possible transitions. Let

$$C(h) = q(q-1)^{(b^{h+1}-1)/(b-1)-1}$$

be the number of proper colourings of a  $b$ -ary tree of height  $h$ . Note that  $P(H)$  is an upper bound on the number of canonical paths  $\gamma_{x,y}$  using a given transition. In order to compute the congestion  $A(f)$  using Equation (2), we need to compute an upper bound on  $P(H)$ . We will compute an upper bound on  $P(h)$  by induction on  $h$ . The base case is  $P(0) = 1$ .

Now suppose  $h > 0$ . Suppose that the transition starts at a colouring  $\hat{x}$  and changes the colour of vertex  $v$  from  $\hat{x}(v)$  to a new colour.

**Case 1:** First, suppose  $v = r(\widehat{T})$ . We start by bounding the number of colourings  $x(\widehat{T})$  that are consistent with the transition. From the transition, we know the initial colour of the root,  $x(r(\widehat{T}))$ . For each subtree  $\widehat{T}_i$ , we know that the initial colouring  $x(\widehat{T}_i)$  can be obtained by permuting the colours in  $\hat{x}(\widehat{T}_i)$ . There are three possible permutations (corresponding to adding  $-1, 0$  or  $1$  modulo  $q$ ). So the number of possibilities for  $x(\widehat{T})$  is at most  $3^b$ . Next we bound the number of consistent colourings  $y(\widehat{T})$ . The colour  $y(r(\widehat{T}))$  is fixed by the transition, but we know nothing about the colourings of the subtrees  $\widehat{T}_i$  beyond the fact that they must be consistent with the root being coloured  $y(r(\widehat{T}))$ . Thus there are at most  $((q-1)C(h-1)/q)^b$  possibilities for  $y(\widehat{T})$ . Overall, we have the upper bound

$$P(h) \leq (3(q-1)C(h-1)/q)^b \tag{4}$$

in the case  $v = r(\widehat{T})$ .

**Case 2:** Now suppose  $v$  is contained in one of the subtrees  $\widehat{T}_k$ . It could be that the transition under consideration is employed during Step 2 of *Recolour* (we call such a transition Type A), or in Step 4 (Type B).

**Case 2A:** Consider first pairs of Type A. How many pairs  $(x(\widehat{T}), y(\widehat{T}))$  of initial and final colourings may use the transition? We'll bound this number by considering separately the pairs  $(x(r(\widehat{T})), y(r(\widehat{T})))$  and  $(x(\widehat{T}_i), y(\widehat{T}_i))$  and multiplying the results. For the root,  $x(r(\widehat{T})) = \hat{x}(r(\widehat{T}))$ , while there are  $q$  possibilities for  $y(r(\widehat{T}))$ . For  $i < k$ , there are at most three possibilities for the colouring  $x(\widehat{T}_i)$ , and at most  $C(h-1)$  for  $y(\widehat{T}_i)$ . For  $i > k$ ,  $x(\widehat{T}_i)$  is fixed by the transition, while there are at most  $C(h-1)$  possibilities for  $y(\widehat{T}_i)$ . Now consider the possibilities for  $x(\widehat{T}_k)$  and  $y(\widehat{T}_k)$ , starting with  $x(\widehat{T}_k)$ . Given the transition from  $\hat{x}(v)$  to its new colour we can tell whether the instance of  $Cycle(\widehat{T}_k, \{x(r(\widehat{T})), y(r(\widehat{T}))\})$  is applying  $Cycle^+$  to  $\widehat{T}_k$  or  $Cycle^-$  to  $\widehat{T}_k$ . In either case, (3) guarantees that the number of initial colourings  $x(\widehat{T}_k)$  that are consistent with the transition is at most  $2^{b(h-1)}$ . Since the number of possibilities for  $y(\widehat{T}_k)$  is at most  $C(h-1)$ , the number for the pair  $(x(\widehat{T}_k), y(\widehat{T}_k))$  is bounded by  $2^{b(h-1)}C(h-1)$ . This gives

an upper bound of  $3^b q (2^{h-1} C(h-1))^b$  on the total number of pairs  $(x(\widehat{T}), y(\widehat{T}))$  such that the given transition is a Type A transition.

**Case 2B:** Finally, consider pairs of Type B. For the root,  $x(r(\widehat{T}))$  is arbitrary, while  $y(r(\widehat{T})) = \hat{x}(r(\widehat{T}))$ , so there are  $q$  possibilities in all. For  $i < k$ , there are at most  $C(h-1)$  possibilities for the colouring  $x(\widehat{T}_i)$ , while  $y(\widehat{T}_i)$  is fixed. For  $i > k$ , there are three possibilities for  $x(\widehat{T}_i)$ , while there are at most  $C(h-1)$  possibilities for  $y(\widehat{T}_i)$ . Inductively, the number of possibilities for the pair  $(x(\widehat{T}_k), y(\widehat{T}_k))$  is  $P(h-1)$ . This gives an upper bound of  $3^b q C(h-1)^{b-1} P(h-1)$  on the total number of pairs  $(x(\widehat{T}), y(\widehat{T}))$  such that the given transition is a Type B transition.

**Completing Case 2:** Summing the bounds on the number of pairs  $(x(\widehat{T}), y(\widehat{T}))$  such that the given transition is a Type A or Type B transition we obtain an upper bound of

$$P(h) \leq 3^b q C(h-1)^{b-1} [2^{(h-1)b} C(h-1) + P(h-1)] \quad (5)$$

on the total number of canonical paths using a given transition in the case  $v \neq r(\widehat{T})$ . Notice that (5) always dominates (4) since  $h \geq 1$ . Now let  $\chi(h) = P(h)/C(h)$ . Since  $q^{b-1} C(h) = (q-1)^b C(h-1)$ , we have the recurrence:

$$\chi(h) \leq \left( \frac{3q}{q-1} \right)^b [2^{(h-1)b} + \chi(h-1)], \quad (6)$$

with initial condition  $\chi(0) = q^{-1}$ . Now note that the recurrence (6) satisfies  $\chi(h) \leq 9^{bh}$ .

**Completing the Analysis:** Let  $\lambda(h)$  be an upper bound on the number of updates performed by *Recolour* $(\widehat{T}, y)$  when  $\widehat{T}$  has height  $h$ . Thus,  $\lambda(H)$  is an upper bound on the length of a canonical path  $\gamma_{x,y}$ .

Now, by Equation (2),

$$A(f) = \frac{nq}{|\Omega|} \max_{z,w} \sum_{x,y:(z,w) \in \gamma_{x,y}} |\gamma_{x,y}| \leq \lambda(H) \frac{nq}{|\Omega|} P(H) = \lambda(H) n q \chi(H),$$

so to prove Lemma 5 we need an upper bound on  $\chi(h)$ .

The subroutine *Cycle* creates paths of length  $(b^{h+1} - 1)/(b - 1)$ . The recurrence governing  $\lambda(h)$  is thus  $\lambda(h) = (b^{h+1} - 1)/(b - 1) + b\lambda(h-1)$ , with initial condition  $\lambda(0) = 1$ . Note that  $\lambda(h) \leq (h+1)b^{h+1}$ . This can be verified by induction on  $h$ . For the inductive step,

$$\lambda(h) = \sum_{j=0}^h b^j + b\lambda(h-1) \leq \sum_{j=0}^h b^j + bh b^h,$$

which is at most  $(h+1)b^{h+1}$  since  $\sum_{j=0}^h b^j \leq b^{h+1}$  for  $b \geq 2$ . Thus  $\lambda(H) \leq (H+1)b^{H+1} \leq b(H+1)n$ . Putting it all together, the congestion  $A(f)$  is bounded above by  $qn\chi(H)\lambda(H)$  which proves Lemma 5.

## 7 The lower bound

Suppose

$$2q \leq b/\ln b. \tag{7}$$

The lower bound proof will use the following fact.

**Lemma 6.** *If  $q \geq 3$  and  $2q \leq b/\ln b$  then  $b - 2 \geq 2(q - 1) \ln(q - 1)$ .*

*Proof.* By (7),  $q - 1 \leq q \leq b/(2 \ln b)$  so

$$\begin{aligned} 2(q - 1) \ln(q - 1) &\leq \frac{b}{\ln b} \ln\left(\frac{b}{2 \ln b}\right) \\ &= \frac{b}{\ln b} (\ln(b) - \ln(2 \ln b)) \\ &= b - \frac{b \ln(2 \ln b)}{\ln b} \\ &\leq b - 2, \end{aligned}$$

where the final inequality holds since  $q \geq 3$  so  $b \geq 6$  so  $b \geq 2 \ln(b)/\ln(2 \ln b)$ .  $\square$

Given a colouring  $x \in \Omega$ , define

$$F(x) = \{w \in V \mid \forall y \in \Omega(T_w) \text{ with } y(L(T_w)) = x(L(T_w)) \text{ we have } y(w) = x(w)\}.$$

Informally,  $F(v)$  is the set of vertices  $w$  of  $T$  whose colour is *forced* by  $x(L(T_w))$ . Our lower bound will be based on a conductance argument which shows that it takes a while to move from a colouring  $x$  in which  $r(T)$  is forced to be one colour to a colouring  $y$  in which  $r(T)$  is forced to be another colour. It is useful to note that  $F(x)$  can be defined recursively using the structure of  $T$ . If  $w$  is a child of  $v$  we say that  $w$  is  $c$ -permitting for  $v$  in  $x$  if either  $x(w) \neq c$  or  $w \notin F(x)$  (or both).

**Observation 7.** *If  $h(v) = 0$  then  $v \in F(x)$ . If  $h(v) > 0$  then  $v \in F(x)$  if and only if, for every colour  $c \neq x(v)$ , there is a child  $w$  of  $v$  which is not  $c$ -permitting for  $v$  in  $x$ .*

The recursive definition of  $F(x)$  illustrates the connection between our conductance argument and lower-bound arguments based on *recursive majority functions* [2, 16].

Consider a colouring  $x$  chosen uniformly at random from  $\Omega$ . Observe that the events  $v \notin F(x)$ , with  $v$  ranging over all vertices at height  $h$ , are independent. To see this, think about constructing the colouring downwards from the root, with each vertex choosing a colour uniformly at random from the colours not used by its parent. Now observe that each event  $v \notin F(x)$  is completely determined by decisions made in the subtrees rooted at  $v$ . Let  $u_h$  be probability of the event  $v \notin F(x)$ , for any vertex  $v$  at height  $h$ ; note that by symmetry this probability depends only on  $h$ .

**Lemma 8.**  $u_h \leq 1/b$ .

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on  $h$ . Note that  $u_0 = 0$ . For the inductive step, let  $v$  be a vertex at height  $h > 0$ . Consider a colouring  $x$  chosen uniformly at random from  $\Omega$ . Fix a colour  $c \neq x(v)$  and a child  $w$  of  $v$ . The probability that  $x(w) = c$  is  $1/(q-1)$ . Also, the probability that  $w \in F(x)$  is  $1 - u_{h-1}$  and this is independent of the event  $x(w) = c$ . (This can be seen by considering the downwards colouring scheme, as before, noting that  $F(x)$  is determined by the restriction of  $x$  to the subtrees rooted at the children of  $w$ .) So the probability that  $w$  is  $c$ -permitting for  $v$  in  $x$  is  $1 - (1 - u_{h-1})/(q-1)$ . These events are independent for different children  $w$  of  $v$  so the probability that every child  $w$  is  $c$ -permitting for  $v$  in  $x$  is

$$\left(1 - \frac{1 - u_{h-1}}{q-1}\right)^b.$$

By Observation 7, the event  $v \notin F(x)$  occurs when there exists a colour  $c \neq x(v)$  such that every child  $w$  is  $c$ -permitting for  $v$  in  $x$ , so by the union bound:

$$\begin{aligned} u_h = \Pr(v \notin F(x)) &\leq (q-1) \left(1 - \frac{1 - u_{h-1}}{q-1}\right)^b \\ &\leq (q-1) \exp\left(-\frac{b(1 - u_{h-1})}{q-1}\right) \\ &\leq (q-1) \exp\left(-\frac{b-1}{q-1}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (q-1)b^{-2} \\ &\leq b^{-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where (8) applies the induction hypothesis and (9) uses assumption (7). □

Consider a vertex  $v$  of  $T$  with  $h(v) \geq 1$  and a leaf  $\ell$  that is a descendant of  $v$ . Consider  $x \in \Omega$ . Say that  $v$  is  $\ell$ -loose in  $x$  if there is a  $c \neq x(v)$  such that every child  $w$  of  $v$ , except possibly the one on the path to  $\ell$ , is  $c$ -permitting for  $v$  in  $x$ .

Let  $\Psi_{v,\ell}$  be the probability that  $v$  is  $\ell$ -loose in  $x$  when  $x$  is chosen u.a.r. from  $\Omega$ . Let  $\varepsilon = (q-1) \exp\left(-\frac{b-2}{q-1}\right)$ .

**Lemma 9.** *Consider a vertex  $v$  of  $T$  with  $h(v) \geq 1$  and a leaf  $\ell$  that is a descendant of  $v$ . Then  $\Psi_{v,\ell} \leq \varepsilon$ .*

*Proof.* The calculation is very similar to the calculation in the proof of Lemma 8, with  $b-1$  replacing  $b$ . Let  $h = h(v)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{v,\ell} &\leq (q-1) \left(1 - \frac{1 - u_{h-1}}{q-1}\right)^{b-1} \\ &\leq (q-1) \exp\left(-\frac{b-2}{q-1}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact  $u_{h-1} \leq b^{-1}$ . □

We are now ready to give the lower bound argument. The *conductance* of a set  $S \subseteq \Omega$  is given by

$$\Phi_S(\mathcal{M}) = \frac{\sum_{x \in S} \sum_{y \in \bar{S}} \pi(x)P(x, y) + \sum_{x \in \bar{S}} \sum_{y \in S} \pi(x)P(x, y)}{2\pi(S)\pi(\bar{S})}.$$

The conductance of  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\Phi(\mathcal{M}) = \min_S \Phi_S(\mathcal{M})$ , where the min is over all  $S \subset \Omega$  with  $0 < \pi(S) < 1$ . The inverse of the conductance of  $\mathcal{M}$  gives a lower bound on the mixing time of  $\mathcal{M}$ . In particular,

$$\tau(\mathcal{M}, 1/(2e)) \geq (1/2 - 1/(2e))/\Phi(\mathcal{M}). \quad (10)$$

Equation (10) is due to Dyer, Frieze and Jerrum [7]. The formulation used here is Theorem 17 of the expository paper [8].

For  $c \in [q]$ , let  $S_c = \{x \in \Omega \mid (r(T) \in F(x)) \wedge (x(r(T)) = c)\}$ . Let  $S_q = \{x \in \Omega \mid r(T) \notin F(x)\}$ . Clearly,  $S_0, \dots, S_q$  form a partition of  $\Omega$ . Let  $S = S_0 \cup \dots \cup S_{\lfloor q/2 \rfloor - 1}$ . Then  $\Phi(\mathcal{M}) \leq \Phi_S(\mathcal{M})$ .

Now by Lemma 8 we have  $0 \leq \pi(S_q) \leq 1/b$ . Also, by symmetry,  $\pi(S_c) = \pi(S_{c'})$  for  $c, c' \in [q]$ . So

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{b}\right) \frac{\lfloor q/2 \rfloor}{q-1} \leq \pi(S) \leq \frac{\lfloor q/2 \rfloor}{q-1}.$$

Since  $b \geq 6$  and  $q \geq 3$  this gives  $\frac{5}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \leq \pi(S) \leq \frac{2}{3}$ , so  $\pi(S)\pi(\bar{S}) \geq \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{2}{3} = \frac{2}{9}$ . Thus

$$\Phi_S(\mathcal{M}) \leq \frac{9}{4} \left( \sum_{x \in S} \sum_{y \in \bar{S}} \pi(x)P(x, y) + \sum_{x \in \bar{S}} \sum_{y \in S} \pi(x)P(x, y) \right),$$

and by reversibility

$$\Phi(\mathcal{M}) \leq \frac{9}{2} \sum_{x \in S} \sum_{y \in \bar{S}} \pi(x)P(x, y) \leq \frac{9}{2} \sum_{x, y} \pi(x)P(x, y), \quad (11)$$

where the summation is over  $x$  and  $y$  for which  $r(T) \in F(x)$  and either  $r(T) \notin F(y)$  or  $x(r(T)) \neq y(r(T))$ . Note that if  $x$  and  $y$  contribute to the summation in (11) then since  $P(x, y) > 0$ , they differ on a single vertex. Since  $r(T) \in F(x)$  we cannot move from  $x$  to a proper colouring  $y$  by changing the colour of  $r(T)$ . Thus the only possibility is that  $r(T) \notin F(y)$  and  $x$  and  $y$  differ on a leaf. Also, given the dynamics, we have  $P(x, y) = 1/(nq)$ .

**Lemma 10.**  $\Phi(\mathcal{M}) \leq \frac{9}{2}\varepsilon^{H-1}$ .

*Proof.* From Equation (11) and the discussion above we have

$$\Phi(\mathcal{M}) \leq \frac{9}{2} \sum_{x, y} \pi(x)P(x, y)$$

where the sum is over all colourings  $x$  and  $y$  for which  $r(T) \in F(x)$  and  $r(T) \notin F(y)$  and  $x$  and  $y$  differ on exactly one leaf,  $\ell$ . Letting  $c = y(\ell)$ , we can write

$$\Phi(\mathcal{M}) \leq \frac{9}{2} \sum_{x \in \Omega} \sum_{\ell \in L} \sum_{c \in [q]} 1_{x,\ell,c} \pi(x) \frac{1}{nq},$$

where  $1_{x,\ell,c}$  is the indicator for the event that  $r(T) \notin F(y)$  when  $y$  denotes the colouring formed from  $x$  by recolouring leaf  $\ell$  with colour  $c$ . Multiplying by the  $q$  possibilities for  $c$  and noting that  $\pi(X) = 1/|\Omega|$ , we get

$$\Phi(\mathcal{M}) \leq \frac{9}{2} \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \frac{1}{nq} q \sum_{x \in \Omega, \ell \in L} 1_{x,\ell},$$

where  $1_{x,\ell}$  is the indicator variable for the event that there is a colour  $c$  such that, when  $y$  is obtained from  $x$  by changing the colour of leaf  $\ell$  to  $c$ , we have  $r(T) \notin F(y)$ . This event implies that every vertex  $v$  on the path from  $\ell$  to  $r(T)$  is  $\ell$ -loose in  $x$ . When  $x$  is chosen uniformly a random these events are independent and by Lemma 9 they all have probability at most  $\varepsilon$ . So

$$\Phi(\mathcal{M}) \leq \frac{9}{2} \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \frac{1}{n} b^H |\Omega| \varepsilon^{H-1},$$

where  $b^H$  is the number of  $\ell$  in the summation and  $|\Omega|$  is the number of  $x$ . □

Theorem 2 follows from Lemma 10 since, by Equation (10), the lemma implies

$$\tau(\mathcal{M}, 1/(2e)) \geq (1/2 - 1/(2e)) \frac{2}{9} \varepsilon^{-(H-1)}.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{-(H-1)} &= \left( \frac{1}{(q-1) \exp(-(b-2)/(q-1))} \right)^{H-1} \\ &= e^{(H-1) \left( \frac{b-2}{q-1} - \ln(q-1) \right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 6, this is at least

$$e^{(H-1) \left( \frac{b-2}{2(q-1)} \right)}.$$

Using Lemma 3, this is at least

$$e^{\frac{\ln n}{3 \ln b} \left( \frac{b-2}{2(q-1)} \right)} = n^{\frac{b-2}{6(q-1) \ln b}},$$

which gives Theorem 2.

## References

- [1] David J. Aldous. Some inequalities for reversible Markov chains. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 25(3):564–576, 1982.
- [2] Noam Berger, Claire Kenyon, Elchanan Mossel, and Yuval Peres. Glauber dynamics on trees and hyperbolic graphs. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 131(3):311–340, 2005.
- [3] Nayantara Bhatnagar, Juan Vera, and Eric Vigoda. Reconstruction for colorings on trees, arxiv:0711.3664, 2007.
- [4] Persi Diaconis and Laurent Saloff-Coste. Comparison theorems for reversible Markov chains. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 3(3):696–730, 1993.
- [5] Persi Diaconis and Daniel Stroock. Geometric bounds for eigenvalues of Markov chains. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 1(1):36–61, 1991.
- [6] Martin Dyer, Abraham D. Flaxman, Alan M. Frieze, and Eric Vigoda. Randomly coloring sparse random graphs with fewer colors than the maximum degree. *Random Struct. Algorithms*, 29(4):450–465, 2006.
- [7] Martin Dyer, Alan Frieze, and Mark Jerrum. On counting independent sets in sparse graphs. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 31(5):1527–1541, 2002.
- [8] Martin Dyer, Leslie Ann Goldberg, Mark Jerrum, and Russell Martin. Markov chain comparison. *Probab. Surv.*, 3:89–111 (electronic), 2006.
- [9] Leslie Ann Goldberg, Russell Martin, and Mike Paterson. Random sampling of 3-colorings in  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . *Random Struct. Algorithms*, 24(3):279–302, 2004.
- [10] Thomas P. Hayes, Juan C. Vera, and Eric Vigoda. Randomly coloring planar graphs with fewer colors than the maximum degree. In *STOC’07—Proceedings of the 39th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 450–458. ACM, New York, 2007.
- [11] Michael Luby, Dana Randall, and Alistair Sinclair. Markov chain algorithms for planar lattice structures. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 31(1):167–192, 2001.
- [12] Brendan Lucier, Michael Molloy, and Yuval Peres. The Glauber dynamics for colourings of bounded degree trees. In *Approximation, Randomization and Combinatorial Optimization*, volume 5687 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 631–645. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009.
- [13] Fabio Martinelli. Lectures on Glauber dynamics for discrete spin models. In *Lectures on probability theory and statistics (Saint-Flour, 1997)*, volume 1717 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 93–191. Springer, Berlin, 1999.

- [14] Fabio Martinelli, Alistair Sinclair, and Dror Weitz. Fast mixing for independent sets, colorings, and other models on trees. *Random Struct. Algorithms*, 31(2):134–172, 2007.
- [15] E. Mossel. *Survey: Information flow on trees*, volume 63 of *DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, pages 155–170. AMS Press, 2004.
- [16] Elchanan Mossel. Recursive reconstruction on periodic trees. *Random Struct. Algorithms*, 13(1):81–97, 1998.
- [17] Dana Randall and Prasad Tetali. Analyzing Glauber dynamics by comparison of Markov chains. *J. Math. Phys.*, 41(3):1598–1615, 2000. Probabilistic techniques in equilibrium and nonequilibrium statistical physics.
- [18] Alistair Sinclair. Improved bounds for mixing rates of Markov chains and multicommodity flow. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 1(4):351–370, 1992.
- [19] Alistair Sinclair and Mark Jerrum. Approximate counting, uniform generation and rapidly mixing Markov chains. *Inform. and Comput.*, 82(1):93–133, 1989.
- [20] Prasad Tetali, Juan C. Vera, Eric Vigoda, and Linji Yang. Phase transition for the mixing time of the Glauber dynamics for coloring regular trees, August 2009. [arXiv:0908.2665v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/0908.2665v1).
- [21] Dror Weitz. *Mixing in time and space for discrete spin systems*. PhD thesis, U.C. Berkeley, 2004.